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# Alternative model choice justification

Our fraudulent claim data set comprises 1000 data points where only 24.70% of data are fraudulent, making the data set imbalanced. Furthermore, we also have labels stating which claims are fraudulent. As stated in checkpoint1, our project aims to create a classification model to classify genuine and fraudulent claims. Post going through a few of the existing works pertaining to statistical analysis and prediction on tabular data, as well as machine learning-based fraud detection models. We have narrowed our initial model implementation and prediction to the following:

1. XGBoost.
2. SVM.
3. Logistic regression.

Ridge Classifier:  
XG-boost is widely used for statistical analysis and prediction, often time outperforming deep learning models[[1]](#footnote-2). Furthermore, we referred to similar works on fraud detection, like credit-card fraud detection[[2]](#footnote-3) and auto-fraud detection paper. Which had also selected XG-Boost as it is one of the most efficient implementations of gradient-boosted decision trees and has been regarded as one of the best machine-learning algorithms, often used in Kaggle competitions[[3]](#footnote-4). Specifically designed to optimize memory usage and exploit the hardware computing power, XG-boost decreases the execution time with increased performance.

The main idea of boosting is to sequentially build sub-trees from an original tree such that each subsequent tree reduces the errors of the previous one. In such a way, the new sub-trees will update the previous residuals to reduce the error of the cost function. The following properties of XG boot further make it a staple for these classifications:

1. XG-boost is also a highly scalable end-to-end tree-boosting system.
2. The justified weighted quantile sketch is used for efficient proposal calculation.
3. The sparsity-aware algorithm is developed for parallel tree learning.
4. An effective cache-aware block structure is implemented for out-of-core tree learning.

Due to these pros, XG-boost outperforms most other machine learning algorithms in speed and accuracy.

## Bagging Classifier**:**

Support vector machines (SVMs) are supervised machine learning models that apply classification methods to two-group classification issues. The support vector machine algorithm aims to locate a hyperplane in N-dimensional space (N is the number of features) that categorizes the data points.

Furthermore, SVMs are relatively memory efficient, do not experience overfitting, and perform well when there is a distinct indication of class separation. When the total number of samples is fewer than the total number of dimensions, SVM can be used and does well in terms of memory.

Various algorithms are used in machine learning for classification; however, SVM is better than most others since it produces results with higher accuracy.

SVM Classifier, compared to other classifiers, has better computational complexity. Even if the number of positive and negative examples are not the same, SVM can be used as it can normalize the data or project into the space of the decision boundary separating the two classes.

Due to these pros, we have chosen the SVM as one of the models for fraud detection.

# Chosen model's test error rate

As illustrated below XG-boost has better scores for training data, hence we will perform further training and testing using XG-Boost:
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Result:



The following graph illustrates the performance of Logistic regression, XG-Boost, and SVM model on train data:
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# Performance of model based on the test error rate

Following the initial accuracy score, we can determine that XG-boost performs better than SVM and Logistic regression based on STD scores. So we proceeded to use XG-boost classification for our predictions and to improve the model's performance further, we performed hyperparameter tunning with Random Grid Search.
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Based on this following best parameter were received, and the XG-boost was further trained with these hyperparameters to improve its overall performance, with a train accuracy increase of 11.67%.
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Following is the performance of tuned XG-boost on test data, where it is generating an accuracy of 81.5% and recall of 76.47%:
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The model is achieving an AUC score of 79.85% with the following ROC:
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# Predictions of model

We will be checking the performance of predictions of the XG-Boost based on: F1-score, recall, precision, F-beta, and confusion matrix:
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As illustrated above, XG-boost has a precision of 61% and an F-1 score of 68% for fraudulent claims.

Additionally, from the confusion matrix, we can observe that the model categorizes 7% of fraudulent claims as genuine claims and has an f-beta score of 72.7 % on recall. These scores show that the model can detect most fraudulent cases.
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